

The National Association of Broadcasters has no right to stifle competition from satellite radio providers. I spend three to four hours a day in my car (I'm in sales) and the quality of 'conventional' radio is atrocious... I am willing to pay money for a better alternative -- and I'm very happy with XM radio's quality of service. (Unlike the 'land radio' providers, I don't get fictitious information about non-existent accidents and assurances that I'm doing 60 mph when in fact I'm stopped dead in traffic!). If the 'terrestrial radio' providers are doing such a great job, then WHY am I willing to PAY for premium quality? Simple -- because monopolies like Clear Channel, etc. provide BORING, LIMITED, and LOW-QUALITY radio. Let those who like boring, repetitive, and 'sucky' radio turn on their FM/AM stations. I want something more.... Let me have it, and tell the would-be NAB monopolists to stay away!!!! I vote, and I'm listening.... (and you had better BELIEVE I'll be voting this year!!!)